

Integrated nano-cavity plasmon light-sources for on-chip optical interconnects

Ke Liu, Ning Li, Devendra K Sadana, and Volker J. Sorger

ACS Photonics, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acsp Photonics.5b00476 • Publication Date (Web): 19 Jan 2016Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on January 27, 2016

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

Integrated nano-cavity plasmon light-sources for on-chip optical interconnects

Ke Liu^{†,‡}, Ning Li^{*,§}, Devendra K. Sadana[§], Volker J. Sorger^{*,†}

[†]Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, School of Engineering and Applied Science, George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052, USA

[‡]The Key Laboratory of Optoelectronics Technology, Ministry of Education, , College of Electronic Information and Control Engineering, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, P.R. China

[§]IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, 1101 Kitchawan Road, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598, USA


ABSTRACT: Next generation on-chip light sources require high modulation bandwidth, compact footprint, and efficient power consumption. Plasmon-based sources are able to address the footprint challenge set by both the diffraction limited of light and internal laser physics such as plasmon utilization. However, the high losses, large plasmonic-momentum of these sources hinder efficient light coupling to on-chip waveguides, thus questioning their usefulness. Here we show that plasmon light sources can be useful devices; they can deliver efficient outcoupling power to on-chip waveguides, and are able to surpass modulation speeds set by gain-compression. We find that waveguide-integrated plasmon nano-cavity sources allow to transfer about ~60% of their emission into planar on-chip waveguides, while sustaining a physical small footprint of $\sim 0.06 \mu\text{m}^2$. These sources are able to provide output powers of tens of microwatts for microamp-low injection currents and reach milliwatts for higher pump rates. Moreover, the direct modulation bandwidth exceeds that of classical, gain compression-limited on-chip sources by more than 200%. Furthermore, these novel sources feature high power efficiencies ($\sim 1\text{fJ/bit}$) enabled by both minuscule electrical capacitance and efficient internal photon utilization. Such strong light-matter-interaction devices might allow redesigning photonic circuits that only demand microwatts of signal power in the future.

KEYWORDS: *laser, nano-cavity, plasmon, photonics integration, rate equations*

1
2
3
4
5 **For Table of Contents Use Only:**
6
7
8
9

10 Integrated nano-cavity plasmon light-sources for on-chip optical interconnects
11
12
13

14 Ke Liu^{†,‡}, Ning Li^{*,§}, Devendra K. Sadana[§], Volker J. Sorger^{*,†}
15
16
17
18
19



1
2 In the past decade, photonic technologies have become universal in data communications. The dense
3
4 integration of photonic communication links has potential to deliver both high data throughput and
5
6 bit-densities, while minimizing power consumption and energy dissipation.^{1,2} In particular, solutions
7
8 are needed to address both the increasing power density and data rate bottleneck between
9
10 computation and communication.³ However, the required on-chip light sources for the photonic links
11
12 are challenged by 1) large footprints due to the diffraction limit of light, 2) low threshold efficiencies
13
14 owing to small spontaneous emission coupling factors, β , leading to high power consumption (i.e.
15
16 energy-per-bit), 3) temperature sensibilities when high-quality cavities are deployed, and 4) slow
17
18 modulation speeds because of gain compression effects and parasitic electrical capacitances. As a
19
20 result, network-on-chip light sources are therefore often considered off-chip.⁴
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 The emerging field of plasmonics, coherent electromagnetically driven electronic oscillations
28
29 at metal-dielectric interfaces,⁵ has demonstrated on-chip laser devices that address some of the above
30
31 challenges; these include compact light sources below the diffraction limit,⁶⁻⁸ operating at cryo^{9,10}
32
33 and room temperature,^{11,12} and pulsed¹³ and continuous wave operation.¹⁴ However, these laboratory
34
35 demonstrations are often unsuitable for chip integration due to a variety of limitations such as
36
37 incompatibilities to telecom frequencies of the gain medium, photonic platform integration, and
38
39 inability to deliver sufficient power levels into on-chip waveguides. The latter bears both
40
41 fundamental and practical challenges for plasmon nanolasers in particular; the large wave vectors of
42
43 sub diffraction-limited modes add profound challenges to couple the emission into a non
44
45 diffraction-limited waveguide or free space efficiently. In the limit of zero-dimensional sources, the
46
47 radiation pattern spreads into a 4π solid angle and bears a high source impedance of about $50 \text{ k}\Omega$.⁷
48
49
50 While not part of the scope of this work, we note that the latter will introduce significant losses due
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 to impedance mismatches when coupling to free-space is desired. In addition, practical challenges
3 exist to efficiently utilize the emission on-chip; for instance the approach to cover the gain material
4 with a metal to increase modal confinement blocks and absorbs emissions thus exacerbating the
5 outcoupling challenge.^{6,13-19} As such, nanolasers can often only be accessed through the device's
6 substrate^{6,20,21} or side-wall¹⁰, thus limiting its use as source for planar circuits. While
7 hetero-integration (i.e. III-V/Silicon wafer bonding) has demonstrated partial success,^{22,23} monolithic
8 integration is more desirable the fabrication process. However the coupling efficiency is still just
9 about 18% for a monolithically-integrated nanoscale light-emitting diode (LED) to a plasmonic
10 waveguide.²⁴ As such the design of a compact and monolithically integrated plasmon sources for
11 efficient power delivery on-chip is still standing.

12
13 Here we investigate different plasmon source configurations for their performance and chip
14 integration potential. Of interest is to relate the internal physical processes characterized by the
15 cavity quality (Q) factor and the light-matter-interaction (LMI) enhancement quantified by the
16 Purcell factor²⁵ to external performance values such as the achievable source output power and the
17 3-dB roll-off modulation bandwidth as derived from the rate equations. Moreover, understanding
18 nanofabrication-induced performance deviations are of significance and considered towards gaining
19 a practical sense of the reliability of this class of sources. Our results show that a
20 waveguide-embedded nanoscale plasmon source configuration delivers high coupling efficiency
21 ($\sim 60\%$), a small ($\sim 0.06 \mu\text{m}^2$) footprint enabling dense integration and a modulation potential of 100
22 GHz for near-lasing threshold pump rates. Relating the internal device processes to external
23 performances (i.e. output power) we find that future on-chip sources do not have to provide
24 milliwatts of power any more. That is, the obtainable power levels from plasmon sources as

discussed here seem to be sufficient to drive future photonic integrated circuits (PIC) that comprise of highly sensitive nanoscale opto-electronic building blocks due to low electrical capacitances, thus paving the way for a technological paradigm shift to nanoscale PICs (see discussion below).

NANOLASER PERFORMANCE




Figure 1. Three-dimensional (3D) schematic structures of plasmon-cavity based nanolasers. (a) Square cavity. (b) Square cavity with bus waveguide, l is the coupling distance between the cavity and the bus waveguide. (c) Inline cavity, g is the coupling distance away from the cavity edge along the inline waveguide. (d) Inline cavity with long metal, s is the coupling distance in the metal strip layer. The aforementioned four structures are all on a SiO₂ layer. (e) Cross-sectional view of the metal-semiconductor square plasmon resonator in the xz plane. The cavity in the z direction consists of a 20 nm Al_{0.3}Ga_{0.7}As top layer, a GaAs quantum-well (QW) gain medium layer, and a 20 nm Al_{0.3}Ga_{0.7}As bottom layer, where the GaAs QW gain medium involves a periodic structure with un-doped Al_{0.3}Ga_{0.7}As barrier (i.e. 10 nm) and GaAs well (i.e. 7 nm) layers. The thickness of the top and bottom Al_{0.3}Ga_{0.7}As layers is equal and kept constant to 20 nm each, and the total cavity height H is varied from 60 to 340 nm for the design optimization, while the Au thickness (100 nm) is unchanged for each cavity structure. The cavity size, W , is varied from 50 to 700 nm wide for determining the resonant wavelength and only the thickness of the gain material layer is varied in the simulations. The color of each rectangular frame in (a), (b), (c), and (d) represents one nanolaser cavity structure.

1
2 The optical cavity is a major component of the laser design as it encompasses the gain medium and
3 provides feedback for the lasing mode. In order to explore and compare the nanolaser performance
4 and usefulness, we investigated a variety of plasmon-cavity based nanolaser structures (Figure 1); (a)
5 square cavity, (b) square cavity with bus waveguide, (c) inline cavity, and (d) inline cavity with an
6 extended metal strip (i.e. simulating an electrical contact or via). Each cavity is formed by both the
7 optical confinement of the dielectric loaded surface plasmon polariton waveguide,²⁶ and the high
8 index contrast of the gain and cavity material relative to its surrounding. The corresponding
9 cross-section of this cavity geometry is at the xz direction (Figure 1e). Analyzing the
10 laser-to-waveguide coupling efficiency of these laser resonators, two waveguide coupling cases are
11 utilized. Case one assumes a bus optical waveguide with a distance l to the square cavity (Figure
12 1b), whereas in case two the square cavity is inserted into a photonic waveguide forming a
13 waveguide-integrated plasmon laser with different metal strip length (Figure 1c, d).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 An initial step in the design, is to determine the resonance wavelength of the plasmon cavity
33 since pumping the gain material require the cavity to be resonant at the wavelength within the gain
34 bandwidth for efficient light amplification. Since the cavity's resonance wavelength scales with the
35 cavity dimensions, we obtain a cavity width of 250 nm for the desired emission wavelength of ~850
36 nm, i.e. gain peak of the GaAs QW medium (Figure 2a). The fundamental transverse-magnetic (TM)
37 (1,1,1) mode profile is indicative of providing the sub-diffraction-limited mode confinement through
38 total internal reflection of surface plasmons at the cavity boundaries (inset Figure 2a).
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60







Figure 2. (a) Resonant wavelengths evolution of the square cavity with various cavity sizes (i.e. $W = 50 \sim 700$ nm), while keeping a fixed aspect ratio of 0.6 (i.e. $H/W = 0.6$). The E_{xy} plane mode is labeled as $\text{TM}(p, p, q)$, where p and q represent the mode numbers associated with the width (W) and height (H) of the cavity, respectively.²⁷ The inset shows a typical fundamental $\text{TM}(1, 1, 1)$ mode recorded in the xy plane at a distance of 20 nm away from the Au- $\text{Al}_{0.3}\text{Ga}_{0.7}\text{As}$ interface in the z -direction for the square cavity with $W=250$ nm. (b) Q -factor and Purcell factor dependence on the cavity size for the square cavity with a fixed aspect ratio of 0.6 (i.e. $H/W = 0.6$).



1
2 **Figure 3.** (a) Comparison of the total cavity Q factors as a function of the cavity height at a fixed cavity size of 250 nm
3 for four plasmon nanolasers. For the case of square cavity as an example, the normalized mode volumes, V_n , are also
4 included. The inset shows the electric field profiles of resonant modes (i.e. i, ii, iii labeled at the Q - H curve for the
5 square cavity) in the xy plane and xz plane for the square cavity at a particular cavity height at $H=140$ nm, 260 nm,
6 and 340 nm, respectively. Dashed black lines are outlining the cavity. The E_{xy} plane modes are recorded at a distance
7 of 20 nm away from the Au- $\text{Al}_{0.3}\text{Ga}_{0.7}\text{As}$ interface in the z -direction, and the E_{xz} plane modes are recorded at the
8 center (i.e. $y = 0$ nm) of the square cavity. (b) Comparison of the Purcell factor as a function of the cavity height, H , at
9 a fixed cavity size of $W=250$ nm for the four plasmon cavities. In particular the coupling distance is zero nanometer (i.e.
10 $l = 0$ nm) for the case of square cavity with bus waveguide (WG). The solid square lines show Q and Purcell factors
11 for the square cavity with Cu metal atop, respectively.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Cross-referencing the evolution between the Q -factor and F_p allows generating insights with
25 respect to the mode confinement via Equation (6) (Refer to the subsection of Resonant wavelength,
26 quality factor, and Purcell factor in METHODS) (Figure 2b). Varying the cavity dimensions while
27 keeping the width-to-height ratio fixed, i.e. $H/W = 0.6$, a constant Q value of ~ 20 is observed for
28 a small physical size (e.g. $W = 50\sim 100$ nm), which reaches 120 for larger cavities ($W =$
29 $[600, 700]$ nm). However, since Q is relatively low, the strong optical confinement becomes
30 apparent through the reasonably high Purcell factors ($F_{p_max} = 34$). The Purcell factors' inverse
31 trend relative to the Q factors indicates that the mode volume is expanding with increasing cavity
32 size. In other words, the increased rate of the mode volume for each cavity size is beyond the
33 enhancement of the corresponding of Q -factor value, which leads to a reduced F_p .
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 The total Q factor is generally limited by intrinsic losses (i.e. metal loss for plasmonics) and
50 radiation losses for a plasmon cavity, thus generally low Q 's are expected, and the observed values
51 match those of similar cavity designs.^{12,28} It is interesting to compare the resonant qualities of the
52 four different device geometries from Figure 1; the square cavity shows the highest Q factors
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 relative to the other cavity geometry tested due to both a higher mode confinement and reduced
3 radiative losses (Figure 3a). On the other hand, Q is inversely proportional to the radiation
4 efficiency of the cavity, which decreases the cavity's photon density. In order to keep the intrinsic
5 loss constant, increasing the radiation loss (i.e. reducing radiative Q -factor) can therefore lead to
6 higher outcoupling efficiencies of a plasmon laser, and defines a trade-off between Q -factor and
7 coupling efficiency. Towards optimizing the cavity height this trade-off Q -factor is obtained at $H =$
8 260 nm (i.e. maximum power coupling) for each cavity type investigated (Figure 3a). A similar case
9 is obtained for the coupling efficiency of a polymer-on-gold dielectric-loaded plasmonic waveguide
10 butt-coupled to SOI photonic waveguides,²⁹ which also depends on the Si-Au offset distance, where
11 the plasmonic mode need overlap with a TM SOI-waveguide mode at an optimized distance of ~ 300
12 nm for the maximized transmission efficiency. For thicker devices beyond $H = 260$ nm Q increases
13 again, which can be attributed to resonant mode-switching and to a difference in the mode
14 confinement and hence radiative loss. For example, the electric field profiles of resonant modes (i.e.
15 E_{xy} and E_{xz}) for the square cavity change its modal shape clearly when the resonance switches
16 from a TM (1,1,1) to a TM (2,2,1) mode. For the latter, the profile displays the fundamental
17 corner-bound mode, which is quite lossy ((ii) Figure 3a), whereas the TM (3,3,1) is a higher order
18 mode with a larger modal profile and higher Q ((iii) Figure 3a).
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Towards verifying the existence of a plasmonic cavity mode, we compare the group index of
46 the laser with a photonic control design. In detail we test the fundamental cavity modes and further
47 investigate the sensitivity to geometrical parameters such as cavity height. A range of group indices
48 between 7.5 and 6.6 is observed at each corresponding resonant wavelength from $H=60$ nm to 340
49 nm, which may be considered as the independency of height versus width for our cavity. The
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

average group index for these plasmonic modes is about 7.0, which is higher than that of a photonic mode for the same cavity (without any metal) resulting in a group index of about 3.7. While higher group indices were observed for previous plasmon lasers, the results here suggest a plasmonic mode character.




Figure 4. Comparison of coupling efficiency for four-nanolaser plasmon cavities to the neighboring $\text{Al}_{0.3}\text{Ga}_{0.7}\text{As}$ waveguides as a function of the cavity height as $W = 250$ nm for the square cavity with bus waveguide, inline cavity, and inline cavity with long metal. For the case of inline cavity with long metal, the coupling efficiency to the metal strip atop is also included. The coupling powers are recorded on both sides along the waveguide by power monitors, at $l = 0$ nm for the square cavity with bus waveguide, $g = 250$ nm for the inline cavity and inline cavity with long metal, respectively, and $s = 250$ nm for the metal strip of the inline cavity with long metal.

The Purcell factor exhibits a descending trend with cavity height for the four cavity cases (Figure 3b, see supporting information). Here, the square cavity exhibits the highest F_p , which can be understood by the highest optical confinement due to the strongest index difference between the

1
2 cavity and its surroundings. Note, comparable F_p values are observed for nanowire-based plasmon
3
4 lasers before.⁸ For the inline cavity Equation (6) (Refer to the subsection of Resonant wavelength,
5
6 quality factor, and Purcell factor in METHODS) predicts V_n to be $\sim 0.9 (\lambda/2n_{cav})^3$ at the cavity
7
8 height of $H = 260$ nm, just a sub diffraction-limited mode. The importance of the mode volume is
9
10 that it affects the light intensity inside the resonator, and therefore determines the LMI strength.
11
12 Rather than to extract V_n from Equation (6), we directly calculate the normalized mode volumes for
13
14 the square plasmonic cavity using Lumerical FDTD Solutions (Figure 3a). The mode volumes are
15
16 close to sub diffraction-limited modes for the thinner devices within $H = 260$ nm, and the larger
17
18 mode volumes of the devices beyond $H = 260$ nm are due to the resonant mode-switching.
19
20
21
22
23

24
25 To date Gold or Silver are the preferred materials used in plasmonics due to their relatively
26
27 low ohmic loss (i.e. low extinction coefficient) at visible and near infrared frequencies. However,
28
29 both metals are not compatible with the CMOS technology limiting the production options of the
30
31 laser. In order to allow for a CMOS option we also investigate the performance of Q and Purcell
32
33 factor for Copper (Cu) as a plasmonic pad. We find a drop of Q (F_p) of about 15% (10%) to be
34
35 relatively modest (Figure 3a, b). This positive result can be attributed to the extinction coefficient
36
37 (i.e. κ) of Cu being higher than that of Au at the laser design frequency (~ 850 nm) leading to
38
39 slightly larger intrinsic loss in the cavity. Coupling light efficiently out of the device and into a
40
41 neighboring photonic waveguide is particularly challenging for a plasmon source with a
42
43 diffraction-limited mode size due to the impedance mismatch between the sources and the on-chip
44
45 waveguide. For the inline cavity case discussed here, a maximum coupling efficiency of $\sim 60\%$ can
46
47 be obtained for both cases of the inline cavity and inline cavity with the long metal (Figure 4). Here
48
49 the waveguide (or metal strip) coupling efficiency is defined by the ratio of the total power coupled
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 to a waveguide (i.e. cavity mode power to a waveguide) and the total radiated power from the
3
4 cavity.²¹ A sensitivity analysis on the coupling efficiency with respect to the laser-to-waveguide
5
6 coupling distance, g , for the inline cavity shows a relatively constant coupling efficiency of ~60%
7
8 (see supporting information). This indicates that the plasmonic mode excited by a dipole source in
9
10 the cavity successfully converts to propagating waveguide modes. The coupling efficiency as a
11
12 function of laser height (Figure 4) exhibits a maximum, and scales inversely to the Q -factor (i.e.
13
14 Figure 3a). With optical interconnect applications in mind the goal is to couple the emission into a
15
16 low-loss, photonic waveguide on-chip, and not utilize the emission in a plasmonic strip where
17
18 propagation lengths are limited to just tens of micrometers. In this regard, we test the inline cavity's
19
20 capability to enable the emission to pass into the butt-coupled photonic waveguide. Here, we
21
22 extended the long metal strip above the active gain region as to allow surface plasmons coupling,
23
24 which simulates a biasing contact (Figure 1d). Indeed the inline cavity only loses 1-2% of the
25
26 emission into this plasmonic strip. This is significantly lower compared to designs with very thin
27
28 dielectrics.³⁰ We note that when the square cavity is touching the waveguide ($l = 0$ nm) the
29
30 maximum coupling efficiency is only about half of that of the inline case. A possible reason for this
31
32 low coupling efficiency might be related to the sharp (90°) angle, where the emission enters the
33
34 waveguide, which leads to back reflection. A waveguide side-wall grating to facilitate coupling
35
36 might be a solution if this case is desired. Furthermore, the coupling from the plasmonic square
37
38 cavity to the photonic waveguide does not behave like a scaled-down version of an optical fiber
39
40 coupling to a high- Q ring;³¹ the power transfer declines monotonically with wider distance, l , and
41
42 no critical, under, nor over coupling phenomenon is observed, which could be due to the localized,
43
44 sub-wavelength nature of the cavity mode. Furthermore, we monitored the radiated power from the
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

bottom of the square cavity, since metal capped or cladded cavities have been found to often emit into the substrate (see supporting information). The results show that a maximum power coupling of about a quarter can be achieved using this method due to the cavity mode (E_{xz} field) tightly confined at the interface between metal and dielectric (inset Figure 3a).




Figure 5. Total cavity Q factors dependence on (a) Side-wall roughness with root mean square (RMS) values, and (b) Side-wall angles, for the square cavity. The cavity height, 80 nm, is fixed as an example. The TM (1,1,1) modes at the cavity with 5 nm RMS roughness and with 37° side-wall angle are recorded at a distance of 20 nm away from the

1
2 Au-Al_{0.3}Ga_{0.7}As interface in the z-direction, respectively. The other inset for each figure shows the schematic of the
3 device covered with rough side-wall and with tilted side-wall, respectively. Surface roughness is added from the
4 “Surface” category in the structure group of Lumerical finite-difference-time-domain (FDTD) software.
5
6

7
8 Nano-fabrication processing can be a major challenge for the realization of nano-laser devices.
9
10 A MQW GaAs wafer is transferred to SiO₂/Si substrate first through a conventional molecular
11 bonding technique,³² and then followed by the fabrication of the device. Precise fabrication steps are
12 usually needed to minimize extra cavity losses, including scattering due to surface roughness and
13 radiative loss due to tilted side-walls.³³ We tested the nanolasers of this work in relation to the both
14 loss factors (Figure 5). We find that the total cavity Q -factor is relatively stable ($\Delta Q \sim 10\%$) to both
15 roughness (1-5 nm root-mean-square, a typical range of surface roughness for GaAs with plasma
16 etching) and non-vertical sides walls (up to 37 degrees displacement) (Figure 5b). The reason for
17 both cases are linked to the tight optical confinement; that is most of the electric field is well
18 concentrated within the cavity and not much field reaches the side-wall (inset Figure 5a). In addition,
19 the field confined around the interface between the metal and the dielectric (inset Figure 5b) is not
20 much related to the side-wall angles. Such stable design criteria for plasmon lasers are promising
21 indicators for device integration into on-chip networks.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **OUTPUT POWER AND MODULATION BANDWIDTH**

44
45 Plasmon nanolasers may operate at elevated cavity losses because of their high spontaneous
46 emission factor, β , compared to a conventional diffraction-limited laser. A high β results from the
47 increased LMI strength, accelerating both spontaneous and stimulated emission rates beyond those
48 available to emitters in diffraction-limited cavities. This opens the possibility to achieve lasing action
49 of plasmons with realistic pump rates despite high cavity losses.³⁴ Here β is defined by the ratio of
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

the spontaneous emission rate into the lasing modes and the spontaneous emission rate into all the modes, and can be calculated via,³⁵

$$\beta = \frac{F_{cav}^{(1)}}{\sum_k F_{cav}^{(k)}} \quad (1)$$

where the lasing mode is indicated by the index $k = 1$, and the summation is over all the modes k , including the cavity modes and the modes radiating out of the cavity into free space. To compute the β -factor, the total spontaneous emission rates into all the modes is calculated by the averaged Purcell factor using a vertically z -oriented electric dipole excitation source placed in a random position in the cavity, whereas the spontaneous emission rate into the lasing mode is evaluated by the Purcell factor using the same electric dipole excitation source purposely located the position where a cavity lasing mode exist (i.e. a highest Q value for the mode).

Towards achieving a theoretical understanding of the nanolaser characteristics through the Purcell effect, the steady state rate equations are adopted for a sub-wavelength mode volume laser device under continuous pumping,³⁴

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = P - An - \beta\Gamma AS(n - n_0) - (v_s S_a / V_a)n \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \beta An + \beta\Gamma AS(n - n_0) - \gamma S \quad (3)$$

where P is the pump rate, S is the photon number of a single lasing mode, n is the excited state population density, A is the spontaneous emission rate, which can be modified by the Purcell effect via $A = F_p A_o$, where A_o is the natural spontaneous emission rate of the material, and $A_o = 1/\tau_{sp0}$, τ_{sp0} is the spontaneous emission lifetime of gain medium. Γ quantifies the overlap between the spatial distribution of gain medium relative to a lasing mode, and is about 50% for the square cavity case as evaluated by calculating the spatial-overlap of the cavity mode profile with the physical dimensions of the GaAs QW region. S_a and V_a are the exposed surface area (i.e. side

walls of the nanolaser) and the gain material's volume, respectively. v_s is the surface recombination velocity, and here $v_s=4500$ cm/s is used for GaAs surface passivation using an oxide deposition method.³⁶ n_0 is the excited state population at transparency, γ is the total cavity loss rate per unit volume (i.e. total loss coefficient per unit length \times modal speed/ V_{mode}), and $\gamma = \gamma_c + \gamma_g$, where γ_c is the loss rate due to cavity mirror loss and intrinsic loss, and γ_g is the absorption loss rate due to the gain medium that is related to the GaAs absorption coefficient, i.e. $\sim 9.0 \times 10^3$ cm⁻¹.³⁷ In order to relate the rate equations to the power output, P_{out} , can be written as,³⁸

$$P_{out} = \eta_c \frac{\alpha_m}{\alpha_m + \alpha_i} \frac{S_{ph} hc}{\tau_p \lambda} V_{mode} \quad (4)$$

where η_c is the collection efficiency representing the ratio of the coupling power to the total radiated power (i.e. waveguide coupling efficiency in Figure 4), α_i (α_m) is the cavity intrinsic (mirror) losses per unit length, i.e. 1.7×10^3 cm⁻¹ (2.1×10^3 cm⁻¹) for the inline cavity, 2.4×10^3 cm⁻¹ (1.5×10^3 cm⁻¹) for the inline cavity with long metal, and 1.8×10^3 cm⁻¹ (1.3×10^3 cm⁻¹) for the square cavity with bus waveguide, respectively. S_{ph} is the photon density, τ_p is the photon life time, and is proportional to the cavity Q (i.e. $\tau_p = Q/(2\pi f)$, f is the cavity resonant frequency), h the Planck constant, c is the light speed in vacuum, and λ is the lasing wavelength.

The inline cavity laser with a β -factor of ~ 0.55 exhibits the highest output power compared to the other two structures (Figure 6a), which approaches 80 μ W at threshold (i.e. $P/P_{th} = 1$) and even 1 mW at $P/P_{th} = 10$, respectively, where P_{th} is the threshold pump rate, and $P_{th} = [\gamma_c(A + v_s S_a/V_a) + \gamma_g((1 - \beta)A + v_s S_a/V_a)]/\beta \Gamma A$. However, the injection current density at the threshold pump rate is calculated to be $\sim 3 \times 10^3$ kA/cm² for a $W = 250$ nm size cavity. In practice the high power output at the high current density might have to operate with a proper thermal management design, and similar order of magnitude threshold pump intensity is observed for the

1
2 nanowire plasmon lasers.⁹ When pumping far below the threshold pump at room temperature, these
3
4 plasmon nanolasers behave as an amplified spontaneous emission light source. For instance, an
5
6 output power of 20 μW corresponds to an acceptable injection current of $\sim 800 \mu\text{A}$ for the inline
7
8 cavity, where the device operates as a nano-cavity LED source (Figure 6b).
9


10
11 The inline cavity laser features a sub diffraction-limited mode volume, which significantly
12
13 increases β -factor by 2-4 orders of magnitude compared to a conventional laser (i.e. $\beta=10^{-5}\sim 10^{-3}$).
14
15 This subsequently reduces the threshold pump rate and increases the photon utilization thus
16
17 providing a higher relative output power. In addition, the photon density represents the extent of
18
19 LMI, and is directly proportional to the output power of the laser, and is estimated to be in the range
20
21 of $10^{16}\text{-}10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for this laser device, which is 1-2 orders higher than a conventional
22
23 diffraction-limited laser (e.g. $\sim 10^{14}\text{-}10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$).³⁹
24
25
26
27
28

29
30 Another important measure for the performance of plasmon nanolasers is the modulation
31
32 bandwidth (i.e. 3-dB roll-off speed). Here we estimate the small signal response of the plasmon laser
33
34 by observing the spectral response function,⁴⁰
35
36

$$37 \quad H(\omega) = \frac{\beta\Gamma_T(1+S_0)}{\sqrt{(\omega^2 - \omega_r^2)^2 + \omega^2\omega_p^2}} \quad (5)$$

38
39 where ω is the optical cavity angular frequency, Γ_T is the transition rate of excited state population,
40
41 which is equal to the spontaneous emission rate, A . $\omega_p = \gamma_c + \Gamma_T(1 - \beta N_0 + \beta S_0)$, and $\omega_r^2 =$
42
43 $\Gamma_T[\gamma_c(1 + \beta S_0) - \beta(1 - \beta)\Gamma_T N_0]$, S_0 and N_0 are the steady-state photon number and population
44
45 inversion number, respectively, and $\gamma_c = 1/\tau_p$. The time response of a nanolaser is characterized by
46
47 the modulation bandwidth, f_{3dB} , defined as the frequency at which the response function decays to
48
49 half of its zero-frequency value (i.e. $H(\omega)/H(0)$). When the nanolaser device is operated below the
50
51 threshold current (i.e. $\sim 2 \text{ mA}$ calculated for our case), it effectively operates as a nano-cavity LED.
52
53
54
55
56
57

1
2 The frequency response of the device below lasing threshold is calculated using the spontaneous
3 emission lifetime, which is equal to carrier lifetime but neglecting the non-radiative recombination
4 lifetime. In this type of nano-cavity LED, the spontaneous emission lifetime is inversely proportional
5 to the Purcell factor. As a result the nano-cavity LED can operate at a much higher modulation speed
6 than a conventional LED. For example, the modulation frequency of the inline cavity LED increases
7 with the pump rate (Figure 6c) resulting in a 3-dB bandwidth of more than 40 (80) GHz at a 0.5 (1.0)
8 of the threshold pump rate.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22






Figure 6. (a) Laser output power as a function of normalized pump rate (i.e. P/P_{th}) for the three plasmon nanolasers. The corresponding β factors calculated for each structure are also shown. (b) Practical light output power dependence on the injection current for the various plasmon nanolasers. (c) Modulation bandwidth of the inline cavity nanolaser/LED at the different pump rates with below and equal threshold pump rate. A ~ 80 GHz modulation frequency is calculated at a 3 dB bandwidth with the pump rate of $P/P_{th} = 1.0$.

LASER INTEGRATION

For an electrically-pumped nanolaser, self-heating may lead to temperature instabilities in the

1
2 semiconductor due to joule heating of the small device volume. A proper design for improving the
3
4 temperature distribution in nanolasers⁴¹ is an important aspect particularly when device bonding on a
5
6 Silicon-on-insulator (SOI) platform is considered since the thermal conductivity of Silica is about
7
8 two orders of magnitude lower compared to a bulk Silicon substrate, thus contributing to heat
9
10 dissipation lockage. Therefore we investigate the ability of the inline cavity nanolaser to operate
11
12 below CMOS temperature budgets ($<350\text{ K}$), and consider an electrically-pumped device (Figure 7a)
13
14 analyzing the temperature profile (Figure 7b, c). Here the plasmon cavity metal pad (i.e. Cu)
15
16 synergistically serves as an electrical contact, and we hypothesize that it also acts as a dissipative
17
18 heat sink. Our results show that this nanolaser is able to operate at $\sim 65^\circ\text{C}$ at pump threshold (~ 2000
19
20 μA), which is about 15°C below of the operation temperature of a typical CMOS integrated circuit.
21
22 It is intriguing to ask whether the plasmonic metal provides for a better heat sink than a low-resistive
23
24 dielectric. In order to test this, we replaced the metal cavity/contact with highly doped poly-Silicon
25
26 (see supporting information), and repeated the experiment. The resulting heat maps indicate a
27
28 maximum device temperature increase to $\sim 95^\circ\text{C}$ at threshold, thus highlighting the importance of the
29
30 metal pad towards realizing nanoscale laser that are able to adhere to thermal budgets. Such thermal
31
32 management solution using passive and synergistic designs are important to ensuring temperature
33
34 stability of nanoscale devices without adding footprint, additional material or process overhead.
35
36 These results are encouraging and future research should map out the limits of this technological
37
38 option. Another desired yet challenging task is to integrate optical components monolithically
39
40 on-chip. Here new circuit design opportunities can be envisioned enabled by hetero integration of
41
42 active nanoscale III-V-based devices into Silicon CMOS. A successful implementation can increase
43
44 the degree of freedom in an interconnect design architecture, and might reduce processing cost while
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

maintaining a high density of interconnects, which potentially improves the performance of the optical link and network-on-chip architectures. Current nanolaser designs can be integrated onto a SOI platform through the latest III-V-to-Si wafer bonding solutions.²²




Figure 7. (a) Schematic of an electric-pumping scheme for the inline cavity nanolaser bonded on a SiO₂/Si substrate. Here Copper is used for the metal electrodes to ensure CMOS compatibility. Surface temperature distribution profiles at threshold ($P_{pump} = I_{th} = 2000 \mu\text{A}$) at the (b) A plane and the (c) B plane, respectively. The results indicate a temperature of about 65°C for the laser cavity, leaving 15° of thermal budget to typical CMOS limits (80°C). Thermal conductivity (in W/m·K) of each material at room temperature: $k_{Cu} = 400$, $k_{SiO_2} = 1.38$, $k_{Si-intrinsic} = 130$, and $k_{Si-poly} = 45$.

1
2 With the possibilities for on-chip sub-wavelength-scale laser sources the question of viability
3
4 and utilization must be raised. Here, we briefly discuss the electrical power consumption as a
5
6 function of the devices' power efficiency (i.e. energy per bit), operating data-rate (i.e. in Gbps), and
7
8 power dissipation density (i.e. in W/cm^2) of these novel sources in the context of next generation
9
10 PICs. From the power efficiency of the source the average number of photons per bit defines three
11
12 technological regimes (Table 1); diffraction limited devices have a relatively high power
13
14 consumption prohibiting scaling beyond ten's of devices on-chip. This effect is well known since
15
16 PIC designers usually demand 10's of milliwatts of optical power per wavelength channel. However
17
18 if active optoelectronic components are miniaturized into the nanoscale regime, their wall-plug
19
20 power consumption should decrease from being capacitive limited (i.e. $E/\text{bit} = \frac{1}{2}CV^2$,⁴² where C is
21
22 the device capacitance, and V is the driving voltage), to device intrinsically limited (i.e. carrier
23
24 lifetime, cavity response function etc), if the same drive voltage can be used. This also leads to an
25
26 increased operational bandwidth of the device due to a lower RC delay time, provided the device
27
28 and contact resistance can be kept low. For the nanolasers for instance, the direct modulation speed
29
30 also increases due to the Purcell effect. Thus, at reasonably low data rates (i.e. 10 Gbps) just ten's of
31
32 micro-watts of power per device are required making the case for the nano regime to be the next
33
34 generation building blocks for on-chip networks, and integrating thousands of photonic devices
35
36 on-chip. The quantum regime would be the next-technology regime, where only a few photons per
37
38 bit are needed. The requirements for the nano and quantum regime, however, are very strong LMI
39
40 enhancements, which can be quantified by a factor proportional to Q/V_n . This optical concentration
41
42 factor can be derived from Fermi's Golden Rule, resulting in two fundamental options to enhance
43
44 the LMI's; (a) through strengthening the optical field intensity at the emitter, or (b) by increasing the
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 number of available optical modes to radiate into.³⁸ While the former relates to increasing the optical
3
4 confinement (e.g. surpassing the diffraction limit as demonstrated in plasmonics or slot-waveguides),
5
6 the latter relates to the optical density of states (DOS) per unit frequency (e.g. cavities, or emission
7
8 selection rules). Starting from Fermi's Golden Rule we can thus write the interaction rate
9
10 as $\gamma_{interaction} = |E(\vec{r})|_{emitter}^k \times DOS(\omega)$, where E is the electric field at the emitter location, and
11
12 DOS depends on the dimensionality of the optical system, and k is an optical effect-order integer.
13
14
15 Physically speaking, this means that one can enhance the LMIs by optically storing energy inside the
16
17 cavity (i.e. $Q = \omega/\gamma_e$, where γ_e is the cavity loss rate) or by reducing the optical mode volume beyond
18
19 the diffraction limit of light, which increases the modal electric field. The latter places a fundamental
20
21 boundary for classical photonics devices due to diffraction-limited modes. These limitations become
22
23 profound in the modulation performance of lasers and LEDs as discussed here, but also in
24
25 electro-optic modulators.⁴³ However, the ultimate PIC scaling might not actually depend on the
26
27 source, but on the receiver sensitivity, which is limited by shot- and Johnson noise. However, for
28
29 plasmonic-based nanoscale photodetectors the area and capacitance can be reduced on the basis of
30
31 enhanced light absorption.⁴⁴ Their scaled-down capacitance demands a greatly reduced optical
32
33 power input to provide the same photodetector output voltage.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 CONCLUSION

46
47 In conclusion, we investigated monolithically integrated plasmon nano-cavity-based lasers as viable
48
49 light sources on-chip utilizing III-V gain materials. Our results show that more than ~60% of the
50
51 laser emission can be coupled into neighboring on-chip waveguides. This contrasts metal clad or
52
53 square cavities whose the waveguide collection efficiency is as low as ~24%. Furthermore, such
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 nanoscale cavities allow for dense integration due to the optical mode caused by dielectric loaded
3
4 surface plasmon polaritons confinement to sub-diffraction limited mode volume with ~ 0.9
5
6 $(\lambda/2n_{cav})^3$. This increases the light-matter-interaction rate of the emitter leading to preferred
7
8 emission channels and high spontaneous emission coupling factors exceeding 0.5. These light
9
10 sources produce about 20 μW of optical power for medium injection currents of about 800 μA , and
11
12 are able to be faster modulated than gain compression-limited devices due to the Purcell effect of
13
14 strong light-matter-interaction with 3dB roll-off frequencies exceeding 80 GHz at threshold. In
15
16 addition to the high performance, seamless PIC integration, these lasers are benign with temperate
17
18 and fabrication process constrains such as monolithic growth on III-V substrates or bonding to SOI
19
20 platforms. Furthermore, we show that the plasmonic metal pad also helps to cool the laser by about
21
22 30 degrees. With such new on-chip sources, it is interesting to note, that future communication links
23
24 might only require microwatts of optical power due to the fundamental limits set by new efficiency
25
26 standards determined by both the optical modal confinement and the device as shown here. This next
27
28 technological step is important since inefficiencies of current diffraction-limited photonics appear
29
30 prohibitive to enable dense photonic integration densities. As such, the presented sources might
31
32 facilitate integrating thousands of photonics devices on-chip.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 METHODS

46
47 **Cavity model.** The design analysis is performed using commercially available 3D FDTD software
48
49 (Lumerical Solutions, Inc.). The input of complex refractive indices (i.e. n and κ) of Gold, GaAs,
50
51 and SiO_2 are taken from the solver's built-in material database. For the alloys of the utilized III-V
52
53 material ($\text{Al}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{As}$) data from reference 45 is used for the dispersion relation according to the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 Kramers-Kronig relations, where an analytic fitting function (multi-coefficient material model,
3
4 termed by 'FDTD model') generates the required index data in the bandwidth of interest (0.75 - 1.0
5
6 μm). Optically the dispersion of quantum wells versus bulk for the gain material GaAs/AlGaAs are
7
8 rather close and show a maximum difference of about 0.04 at the cavity wavelengths.⁴⁶ In order to
9
10 provide a plasmon excitation, a vertically oriented (i.e. z-direction) electric dipole source is placed
11
12 inside each cavity to excite the cavity resonance. Key to excite the cavity's resonance
13
14 homogeneously, which is achieved by placing a dipole source closely to the cavity edge (i.e. $W/8$)
15
16 in the xy plane 20 nm away from the Gold-semiconductor interface. This ensures exciting the
17
18 TM-polarized cavity modes.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Resonant wavelength, quality factor, and Purcell factor.** The Q -factor being a measure of the
28
29 time electromagnetic energy stored inside a cavity is influenced by both the optical feedback
30
31 mechanism (e.g. mirror reflectivity) and the internal loss, and varies for different modes. The lasing
32
33 mode that lies perpendicular to the cavity's boundary surfaces forms a standing-wave pattern (inset
34
35 Figure 3a). Here, the Q -factor is calculated from the Fourier transform of the electromagnetic field
36
37 by finding the resonance frequencies (f_R) of the signal and measuring the full width at half
38
39 maximum (Δf) of the resonant peaks Δf , i.e. $Q = f_R/\Delta f$. A Q -factor analysis of the solver is
40
41 utilized and determining the corresponding resonant wavelength (i.e. f_R) for each resonant mode.
42
43
44
45
46
47 The cavity mode with the maximum Q value is used to determine the cavity's resonant wavelength,
48
49 since it bears the highest potential to overcome the cavity losses first.
50
51

52
53 As indicated above, the Purcell factor indicates the interaction strength between photons in the
54
55 cavity and the laser gain medium by quantifying the spontaneous emission rate enhancement of an
56
57

emitter inside a cavity. The dipole excitation source deployed allows for direct visualization of the Purcell factor, F_p , where the procedure is equivalent to dividing the power emitted by a dipole source in the cavity environment by that of a homogeneous bulk material.⁴⁷ A formula widely used for the evaluation of F_p is given by,²⁵

$$F_p = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{Q}{V_n} \right) \quad (6)$$

where V_n is V_{mode} normalized to $(\lambda/2n_{cav})^3$ (i.e. typically referred to as the diffraction-limited volume in a cubic half-wavelength in material), i.e. $V_n = V_{mode}/[(\lambda/2n_{cav})^3]$, λ is the resonant free space wavelength of the cavity, and n_{cav} is the cavity material index. V_{mode} is the effective mode volume, which is estimated from a commonly used definition, $V_{mode} = \frac{\int \epsilon |E(r)|^2 dV}{\max[\epsilon |E(r)|^2]}$, through Lumerical FDTD Solutions, where ϵ is the dielectric constant, $E(r)$ is the electric field strength, and V is a quantization volume encompassing the resonator and with a boundary in the radiation zone of the cavity. Equation (6) states F_p to be proportional to the ratio of the cavity's Q -factor divided by the normalized mode volume. If the cavity lineshape is much wider than the gain medium inhomogeneous broadening lineshape, Equation (6) is valid.³⁵ Otherwise, F_p follows the trend of mode volume with a negligible effect of cavity Q factor. For our low Q plasmonic cavity, the aforementioned case exists, and Equation (6) can be used.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

* E-mail: sorger@gwu.edu (VS); lini@us.ibm.com (NL)

Notes

1
2 The authors declare no competing financial interest.
3
4
5
6

7 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

8
9
10 **Supporting Information.** Description of simulation method for Purcell factor; Determination of
11 cavity losses; Bottom coupling efficiency of the square cavity nanolaser; Modulation bandwidth at
12 the above threshold for the inline cavity nanolaser; Dimensions and material properties used for
13 thermal analysis of an inline cavity laser. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at
14 <http://pubs.acs.org>.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

26
27 VS and KL acknowledge support from the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) under
28 the award numbers FA9559-14-1-0215 and FA9559-14-1-0378. NL and DKS thank Jean-Oliver
29 Plouchart, Tak Ning, and Effendi Leobandung from IBM Research for helpful discussions.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 REFERENCES

- 38 1. Shacham, A.; Bergman, K.; Carloni, L.P. Photonic networks-on-chip for future generations of
39 chip multiprocessors. *IEEE Trans. Comput.* **2008**, *57*, 1246-1260.
- 40 2. Heck, M.J.R.; Chen, H.W.; Fang, A.W.; Koch, B.R.; Liang, D.; Park, H.; Sysak, M.N.; Bowers,
41 J.E. Hybrid Silicon photonics for optical interconnects. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.*
42 **2011**, *17*, 333-346.
- 43 3. Miller, D.A.B. Device requirements for optical interconnects to Silicon chips. *Proceeding of the*
44 *IEEE* **2009**, *97*, 1166-1185.
- 45 4. Zheng, X.Z.; Chang, E.; Shubin, I.; Li, G.L.; Luo, Y.; Yao, J.; Thacker, H.; Lee, J.H.; Lexau, J.;
46 Liu, F.; Amberg, P.; Raj, K.; Ho, R.; Cunningham, J.E.; Krishnamoorthy, A.V. A 33mW
47 100Gbps CMOS Silicon photonic WDM transmitter using off-chip laser sources. *Optical Fiber*
48 *Communication Conf. and Exposition and the National Fiber Optic Engineers Conf.*, Anaheim,
49 California, USA, March 17-21, **2013**, PDP5C-9.
- 50 5. Maier, S.A. *Plasmonics: Fundamentals and Applications*; Springer Publishing: New York,
51 2007.
- 52 6. Hill, M.T.; Marell, M.; Leong, E.S.P.; Smalbrugge, B.; Zhu, Y.; Sun, M.; van Veldhoven, P.J.;
- 53
54
55
56
57

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Geluk, E.J.; Karouta, F.; Oei, Y.S.; Nötzel, R.; Ning, C.Z.; Smit, M.K. Lasing in metal-insulator-metal sub-wavelength plasmonic waveguides. *Opt. Express* **2009**, *17*, 11107-11112.
7. Noginov, M.A.; Zhu, G.; Belgrave, A.M.; Bakker, R.; Shalae, V.M.; Narimanov, E.E.; Stout, S.; Herz, E.; Suteewong, T.; Wiesner, U. Demonstration of a spaser-based nanolaser. *Nature* **2009**, *460*, 1110-1112.
8. Sorger, V.J.; Zhang, X. Spotlight on Plasmon Lasers. *Science* **2011**, *333*, 709-710.
9. Oulton, R.F.; Sorger, V.J.; Zentgraf, T.; Ma, R.M.; Gladden, C.; Dai, L.; Bartal, G.; Zhang, X. Plasmon lasers at deep subwavelength scale. *Nature* **2009**, *461*, 629-632.
10. Yu, K.; Lakhani, A.; Wu, M.C. Subwavelength metal-optic semiconductor nanopatch lasers. *Opt. Express* **2010**, *18*, 8790-8799.
11. Ma, R.M.; Oulton, R.F.; Sorger, V.J.; Bartal, G.; Zhang, X. Room-temperature sub-diffraction-limited plasmon laser by total internal reflection. *Nat. Mater.* **2011**, *10*, 110-113.
12. Ma, R.M.; Yin, X.B.; Oulton, R.F.; Sorger, V.J.; Zhang, X. Multiplexed and electrically modulated plasmon laser circuit. *Nano Lett.* **2012**, *12*, 5396-5402.
13. Nezhad, M.P.; Simic, A.; Bondarenko, Q.; Slutsky, B.; Mizrahi, A.; Feng, L.; Lomakin, V.; Fainman, Y. Room-temperature subwavelength metallo-dielectric lasers. *Nat. Photon.* **2010**, *4*, 395-399.
14. Ding, K.; Hill, M.T.; Liu, Z.C.; Yin, L.J.; van Veldhoven, P.J.; Ning, C.Z. Record performance of electrical injection sub-wavelength metallic-cavity semiconductor lasers at room temperature. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 4728-4733.
15. Hill, M.T.; Oei, Y.S.; Smalbrugge, B.; Zhu, Y.; de Vries, T.; van Veldhoven, P.J.; van Otten, F.W. M.; Eijkemans, T.J.; Turkiewicz, J.P.; de Waardt, H.; Geluk, E.J.; Kwon, S.H.; Lee, Y.H.; Nötzel, R.; Smit, M.K. Lasing in metallic-coated nanocavities. *Nat. Photon.* **2007**, *1*, 589-594.
16. Khajavikhan, M.; Simic, A.; Katz, M.; Lee, J.H.; Slutsky, B.; Mizrahi, A.; Lomakin, V.; Fainman, Y. Thresholdless nanoscale coaxial lasers. *Nature* **2012**, *482*, 204-207.
17. Kwon, S.H.; Kang, J.H.; Seassal, C.; Kim, S.K.; Regreny, P.; Lee, Y.H.; Lieber, C.M.; Park, H.G. Subwavelength plasmonic lasing from a semiconductor nanodisk with silver nanopatch cavity. *Nano Lett.* **2010**, *10*, 3679-3683.
18. Lu, C.Y.; Chang, S.W.; Chuang, S.L.; Germann, T.D.; Bimberg, D. Metal-cavity surface-emitting microlaser at room temperature. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2010**, *96*, 251101.
19. Chuang, S.L.; Bimberg, D. Metal-cavity nanolasers. *IEEE Photonics J.* **2011**, *3*, 288-292.
20. Ding, K.; Ning, C.Z. Metallic subwavelength-cavity semiconductor nanolasers. *Light Sci. Appl.* **2012**, *1*, e20.
21. Kim, M.K.; Li, Z.; Huang, K.; Going, R.; Wu, M.C.; Choo, H. Engineering of metal-clad optical nanocavity to optimize coupling with integrated waveguides. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 25796-25804.
22. Bondarenko, O.; Simic, A.; Gu, Q.; Lee, J.H.; Slutsky, B.; Nezhad, M.P.; Fainman, Y. Wafer bonded subwavelength metallo-dielectric laser. *IEEE Photonics J.* **2011**, *3*, 608-616.
23. Halioua, Y.; Bazin, A.; Monnier, P.; Karle, T.J.; Roelkens, G.; Sagnes, I.; Raj, R.; Raineri, F. Hybrid III-V semiconductor/silicon nanolaser. *Opt. Express* **2011**, *19*, 9221-9231.
24. Huang, K.C.Y.; Seo, M.K.; Sarmiento, T.; Huo, Y.J.; Harris, J.S.; Brongersma, M.L. Electrically driven subwavelength optical nanocircuits. *Nat. Photon.* **2014**, *8*, 244-249.

- 1 25. Purcell, E.M. Spontaneous emission probabilities at radio frequencies. *Phys. Rev.* **1946**, 69,
2 681.
- 3 26. Holmgaard, T.; Bozhevolnyi, S.I. Theoretical analysis of dielectric-loaded surface
4 plasmon-polariton waveguides. *Phys. Rev. B* **2007**, 75, 245405.
- 5 27. Lakhani, A.M.; Yu, K.; Wu, M.C. Lasing in subwavelength semiconductor nanopatches.
6 *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, 26, 014013.
- 7 28. Huang, Z.L.; Wang, J.F.; Liu, Z.H.; Xu, G.Z.; Cao, B.; Wang, C.H.; Xu, K. Nanoscale active
8 hybrid plasmonic laser with a metal-clad metal-insulator-semiconductor square resonator. *J.*
9 *Opt. Soc. Am. B* **2014**, 31, 1422-1429.
- 10 29. Briggs R.M.; Grandidier, J.; Burgos, S.P.; Feigenbaum E.; Atwater. H.A. Efficient coupling
11 between dielectric-loaded plasmonic and Silicon photonic waveguides. *Nano Lett.* **2010**, 10,
12 4851-4857.
- 13 30. Fan, P.Y.; Colombo, C.; Huang, K.C.Y.; Krogstrup, P.; Nygård, J.; Morral, A.F.I.; Brongersma,
14 M.L. An electrically-driven GaAs nanowire surface plasmon source. *Nano Lett.* **2012**, 12,
15 4943-4947.
- 16 31. Cai, M.; Hunziker, G.; Vahala, K. Fiber-optic add-drop device based on a silica
17 microsphere-whispering gallery mode system. *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* **1999**, 11, 686-687.
- 18 32. Mikulics, M.; Zheng, X.; Adam, R.; Sobolewski, R.; Kordos, P. High-speed photoconductive
19 switch based on low-temperature GaAs transferred on SiO₂-Si substrate. *IEEE Photon. Technol.*
20 *Lett.* **2003**, 15, 528-530.
- 21 33. Ding, K.; Ning, C.Z. Fabrication challenges of electrical injection metallic cavity
22 semiconductor nanolasers. *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 28, 124002.
- 23 34. Ma, R.M.; Oulton, R.F.; Sorger, V.J.; Zhang, X. Plasmon lasers: coherent light source at
24 molecular scales. *Laser Photon. Rev.* **2013**, 7, 1-21.
- 25 35. Gu, Q.; Smalley, J.S.T.; Nezhad, M.P.; Simic, A.; Lee, J.H.; Katz, M.; Bondarenko, O.;
26 Slutsky, B.; Mizrahi, A.; Lomakin, V.; Fainman, Y. Subwavelength semiconductor lasers for
27 dense chip-scale integration. *Adv. Opt. Photonics* **2014**, 6, 1-56.
- 28 36. Passlack, M.; Hong, M.; Opila, R.L.; Mannaerts, J.P.; Kwo, J.R. GaAs surface passivation
29 using in-situ oxide deposition. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **1996**, 104-105, 441-447.
- 30 37. Chuang, S.L. *Physics of Optoelectronic Devices*; Wiley: New York, 1995.
- 31 38. Lu, C.Y.; Ni, C.Y.; Zhang, M.; Chuang, S.L.; Bimberg, D.H. Metal-cavity surface-emitting
32 microlasers with size reduction: theory and experiment. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.*
33 **2013**, 19, 1701809.
- 34 39. Sarkisyan, T.V.; Oraevsky, A.N.; Rosenberger, A.T.; Rolleigh, R.L.; Bandy, D.K. Nonlinear
35 gain and carrier temperature dynamics in semiconductor laser media. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **1998**,
36 15, 1107-1119.
- 37 40. Genov, D.A.; Oulton, R.F.; Bartal, G.; Zhang, X. Anomalous spectral scaling of light emission
38 rates in low-dimensional metallic nanostructures. *Phys. Rev. B* **2011**, 83, 245312.
- 39 41. Gu, Q.; Smalley, J.S.T.; Shane, J.; Bondarenko, O.; Fainman, Y. Temperature effects in
40 metal-clad semiconductor nanolasers. *Nanophotonics* **2015**, 4, 1-18.
- 41 42. Miller, D.A.B. Energy consumption in optical modulators for interconnects. *Opt. Express* **2012**,
42 20, A293-A308.
- 43 43. Sorger, V.J. Nano-optics gets practical Plasmon Modulators. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2015**, 10,
44 11-15.
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25
 - 26
 - 27
 - 28
 - 29
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32
 - 33
 - 34
 - 35
 - 36
 - 37
 - 38
 - 39
 - 40
 - 41
 - 42
 - 43
 - 44
 - 45
 - 46
 - 47
 - 48
 - 49
 - 50
 - 51
 - 52
 - 53
 - 54
 - 55
 - 56
 - 57
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60
44. Wahl, P.; Tanemura, T.; Debaes, C.; Vermeulen, N.; Van Erps, J.; Miller, D.A.B.; Thienpont, H. Energy-per-bit limits in plasmonic integrated photodetectors. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* **2013**, 19, 3800210b.
45. Adachi, S. Optical properties of $\text{Al}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{As}$ alloys. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, 38, 12345-12352.
46. Lin, C.H.; Meese, J.M.; Wroge, M.L.; Weng, C.J. Effect of GaAs/AlGaAs quantum-well structure on refractive index. *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* **1994**, 6, 623-625.
47. FDTD Solutions software by Lumerical Solutions, Inc. <http://docs.lumerical.com>.

TABLES

Table 1. Parametric source scaling and PIC device road mapping defining three technological regimes. Assuming typical regime-dependent device footprints define a capacitive-limited intrinsic device efficiency (assumptions: $\epsilon_r = 10$, capacitive distance = 10 nm, bias voltage = 1.5 V), and a required number of photons per bit given for a given operating wavelength ($\lambda = 1.55 \mu\text{m}$). The capacitive modulation bandwidth (in gigabits per second = Gbps, assuming on-off-keying) is inverse proportional to the electrical capacitance (i.e. $f_{3dB-BW} \sim 1/RC$, R = resistance, C = capacitance). Diffraction limited ‘classical’ devices have prohibitively high power consumption and limit integration to tens of devices on-chip, however requiring no LMI enhancement factor (Q/V_n). The next technological steps are nanoscale devices, can not only be more compact, but are also more power efficient, thus allowing for higher integration, yet require moderate LMI enhancement. Quantum devices, while potentially very efficient and fast, require demanding LMI enhancements. ^{a)} Q -factors top to bottom: 10^4 , 10^2 , and 1.

Regime	Footprint (μm^2)	Efficiency ($\sim\text{J/bit}$)	Photons per bit	Data Rate (Gbps)			Required ^{a)} Q/V_n
				10	100	1000	
<i>Classical</i>	100	1p	10^7	0.01	0.1	1	none
<i>Nano</i>	0.25	1f	10^4	0.01m	0.1m	1m	$<10^2$
<i>Quantum</i>	10^{-4}	1a	10	0.01 μ	0.1 μ	1 μ	$>10^4$
Average Power (W)							